

US EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT



OPP Policy Decisions Regarding Insect Repellent Efficacy Testing

William Jordan
Senior Policy Advisor
Office of Pesticide Programs



Policy Issues

- Objective of repellent efficacy testing
- Choice of endpoint for repellent efficacy studies
- Statistics & handling of censored data

General Considerations

- Consistency of approach
- History of testing methods/implementation



Issue 1: Objective of Repellent Testing

- Preferred objective is to measure duration of complete protection
- Consistent with market research by both EPA and registrants: Repellent users expect and demand complete protection



Issue 2: Choice of Endpoint

- Preferred study endpoint is first confirmed failure event
- Failure events vary depending on species:
 - Landing (or bite) for mosquitoes and flies
 - Crossing for ticks and chiggers
- Choice of confirmed events as endpoints reduces variability



Issue #3: Statistics & Data Censoring

- Preferred summary statistic is Kaplan-Meier median Complete Protection Time with 95% c.i.
- If K-M median is not calculable, mean CPT with 95% c.i. is acceptable
- Underestimates of mean and variance resulting from treating censored data points as confirmed failure events are acceptable for regulatory purposes



Product Performance Test Guidelines OPPTS 810.3700: Insect Repellents to be Applied to Human Skin

John M. Carley
Office of Pesticide Programs



Role of Guidelines

- Data requirements
 - Defined by regulation
 - Interpreted and applied case-by-case
- Test guidelines
 - Advisory, not mandatory
 - If a particular data requirement is imposed, here's how we recommend you address it
- Standard Evaluation Procedures (SEPs)
- Labeling standards



Assumptions Underlying Guideline

- OPP will continue to require laboratory and field tests of topical repellent efficacy
- Guideline should include standard methods for commonly required types of repellent efficacy testing
- Guideline should serve as a single source for all guidance directly relevant to sponsors and investigators conducting repellent efficacy tests



Events Since October 2008

- HSRB Comments
 - On guideline draft of September 2008
 - On subsequently reviewed protocols and completed studies
- Other Comments
- WHO Repellent Testing Guidelines
- Consumer Research



EPA Response

- Compile all HSRB and other comments
- Use multidisciplinary internal workgroup to analyze comments by topic and issue
- Consult with repellent scientists from USDA
- Identify and resolve policy questions
- Revise guideline within policy framework in response to comments



Scope of This Guideline

- Guideline includes
 - Technical guidance for commonly required standard performance tests for skin-applied repellents
 - What investigators need to know about the Human Studies Rule to prepare protocols and conduct studies likely to be reviewed favorably by EPA and the HSRB
- Guideline does not include technical guidance for
 - Non-standard or rarely performed tests
 - Tests of repellency of impregnated fabrics or clothing
 - Tests of products intended to repel insects from indoor or outdoor spaces



Overall Structure of Guideline

- Introduction and Definitions
- General guidance applying to all repellent studies
 - Developing a protocol
 - Review of protocols
 - Changes to approved protocols
 - Execution
 - Reporting
 - Records retention
- Guidance applying to commonly required tests
- References and Appendices



Commonly Required Standard Tests

- Lab tests to determine typical consumer dose
- Lab tests with mosquitoes
- Lab tests with biting flies
- Field tests with mosquitoes
- Field tests with biting flies
- Lab tests with ticks or chiggers



Changes in this Revision

- Reflection of policy decisions
- Streamlined organization
- Changes in general guidance
 - Study design
 - Statistics
 - Ethics
- Changes in specific guidance



General Guidance: Study Design

- Clarify limited appropriateness of multiple treatments per subject
- Recommend treated subjects not also serve as untreated controls
- Recommend positive controls in all studies (20% deet in ethanol at standard 1 g/600 cm² rate)
- Emphasize importance of representative samples
- Recommend testing attractiveness to target pests to qualify subjects



General Guidance: Statistics

- Recommend longer test duration and earliest practical treatment to reduce potential for data censorship
- Revise discussion of sample size
- Revise discussion of analysis plan



General Guidance: Ethics

- Clarify—
 - Prerequisite research
 - Risks of concern are only those associated with participation in research (*vice* background risks)
 - Regulations require benefits discussion and assessment of relation of benefits to risks
 - Subjects from potentially vulnerable populations should not be arbitrarily excluded if special care would provide adequate protection of their safety and welfare
 - Rationale for discouraging distant travel
- Cite Flesch-Kincaid Grade Level as measure of readability
- Call for specifying how investigators will confirm candidate understanding



Specific Guidance: Dose Determination

- Clarify methods—
 - For measuring subject skin area
 - For calculating standard dose
- Identify additional reporting elements



Specific Guidance: Mosquitoes & Flies

- Lab tests: Clarify rearing techniques, cage size, insect density
- Field tests: Clarify subject placement and behavior; revise site-selection criterion for pre-test absence of WNV
- Recommend standard positive control
- Discourage use of treated subjects as untreated controls
- Identify additional reporting elements
- Merge and harmonize M & F guidance



Specific Guidance: Ticks & Chiggers

- Clarify description of recommended method
- Recommend refining definition of “crossing” to suit species and life stage used in testing
- Accept concurrent testing with 2 species
- Clarify rearing techniques, number of ticks, test conditions, subject preparation
- Recommend standard positive controls



Next Steps

- Publish this guideline for immediate use
- Further refine this guideline in response to comments and new developments
- Continue development of additional guidelines:
 - Impregnated materials and space repellents
 - Exposure studies